### **EXHIBIT E**



# Transcript of Don Hayes, Individually

Date: December 12, 2024

Case: Goodrum -v- City of Alexandria

**Planet Depos** 

Phone: 888.433.3767 | Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

Michigan #8598 | Nevada #089F | New Mexico #566

1	mentioned something along the lines of Lieut. ?
2	Goodrum being a good fit for promotion because
3	of for diversity reasons?
4	A: Yes, I do.
5	Q: Tell me about that situation.
6	A: That was at a lieutenants meeting and
7	the question was asked to me basically, Chief
8	Hayes if it was your selection process who would
9	you pick? And I said I would pick Delton Goodrum.
10	And a response came back why, is it because he's
11	Black? And that's when I got into a dialog then
12	about no, because of diversity.
13	And then, I posed a question to them
14	that if Chief Brown was in that room with them and
15	say he would have chosen Steven Carr, would the
16	first thing come to your mind is because he's
17	White? And the room was silent.
18	Q: Following that lieutenant's meeting were
19	there any complaints made about what happened at
20	that meeting?
21	A: No complaints. But people were talking
22	to each other about it.

1	Q: Do you recall anything about what people ?
2	were saying to each other to the extent that you
3	found out?
4	A: Well, they said that I was racist. And
5	that I was trying to start confusion because I was
6	bringing in race into my conversations. Something
7	to that I mean, not to be verbatim, but
8	something to that effect.
9	Q: Did anyone file anything like an EEOC
10	complaint?
11	A: No.
12	Q: Did you either know or hear that anyone
13	was considering filing an EEOC complaint?
14	A: No.
15	Q: Did that conversation play any role in
16	your future promotion decisions?
17	A: No.
18	Q: When you were asked in that lieutenant's
19	meeting and you about who you would promote and
20	you mentioned Lieut. Goodrum, why did you mention
21	Lieut. Goodrum?
22	A: Because I wanted to start the

1	conversation. ?
2	Q: To start the conversation about what?
3	A: Because I knew why they were asking me.
4	And that was the answer they wanted and that
5	people don't want to talk about, but those
6	conversations need to be had as to why.
7	Q: When you made the comment about Lieut.
8	Goodrum in that lieutenants meeting did you feel
9	that Lieut. Goodrum was qualified to become a
10	captain?
11	A: Yes.
12	Q: Is there anything about Lieut. Goodrum's
13	career time at the department between when you
14	made that statement at the lieutenants meeting and
15	when you left the department that change your view
16	of Lieut. Goodrum?
17	A: Nothing changed my view of Lieut.
18	Goodrum. Things happened in that process that
19	made other people better qualified for the
20	selection.
21	Q: Okay. What sort of things?
22	A: Well, we just went through them. Again,

33

1 they were a perfect fit for what I needed done in ? 2 the agency at that time. 3 Was there anything with respect to 4 Lieut. Goodrum that you feel made him less 5 qualified than he was when you made that comment? 6 A: Well, yeah. The performance that he did 7 in the training section that I observed, a few 8 things that happened there. The process of a 9 second pick that again, there was an investigation 10 going on as that pick was being done. 11 0: You mentioned observing things with 12 Lieut. Goodrum in what I will call the training 13 division, but I think you used a slightly 14 different term. What did you observe that 15 affected your position on his qualification to be 16 a captain? 17 Well, there were -- and there were --18 let's say that there was a expectation in 19 recruiting that would have been a lot faster and 20 it didn't happen. And I know they refer to the 21 one memo that came up that kind of went through, I 22 quess the sergeant, the lieutenant, Ms. Shawndell,

34

1 (phonetic) even McCarty, that should have never? 2 hit my desk. It came through. 3 And when I sent it back down to find out 4 what was going on, months later I go and directly 5 ask him about the memo and he had no recollection 6 of what I was talking about. It was things like 7 that where, for a unit as important as that one 8 was for recruiting I expected a lot more. 9 Do you recall approximately when those 0: 10 conversations were? 11 A: No, I don't. 12 Do you recall if it was close to the Q: 13 time when you left the department? Oh no, it wasn't close to that because I 14 A: 15 didn't leave right away after that. 16 Do you recall if it was after you became 0: 17 captain -- or sorry chief, rather than acting 18 chief? 19 A: No, I was chief. 20 So it was some point in time when you 0: 21 were chief and before you left the department 22 which would be approximately April of 2022 to 2024

1	when you left? ?
2	A: Yes. Yeah.
3	Q: And you said that whenever it was it
4	wasn't close to the time when you left?
5	A: No. Again, I think it was, again, after
6	placing him in that position I think, again, my
7	timeframe six months later is when I made the
8	decision to take him out of that position.
9	Q: So whenever that conversation was it was
10	within the
11	A: Within that six months timeframe. Yes.
12	Q: Gotcha. Do your understanding, what
13	were the difficulties in recruiting at that time?
14	A: I didn't get into the nuts and bolts of
15	it. I don't know what was going on, I just know
16	they were that people weren't being recruited.
17	Q: 2022, it did to your understanding,
18	did Covid affect recruitment?
19	A: Yeah, it could have. I don't even
20	know I'm being honest with you, Covid came in
21	'90 I mean, not '90. 2000, right?
22	Q: Covid started in earnest in March or

1	April 2020. ?
2	A: 2020. Yeah, 2020. Yeah, when I say
3	2000 and 20, yeah, 2020. Yeah. And so you're
4	talking about two years later. I mean Covid
5	affected it. The George Floyd situation affected
6	it. A lot of things affected it. But we still
7	have to do our job.
8	Q: Absolutely. Do you recall around that
9	time how many people you wanted to see recruited
10	sort of over different periods of time?
11	A: I was trying to put at least 30 in the
12	academy.
13	Q: During your tenure as chief, typically,
14	how many people were sent to the academy?
15	A: Probably 20 so, 23, 24.
16	Q: During Lieut. Goodrum's tenure in charge
17	of that department do you recall approximately how
18	many people went to the academy, not in total, but
19	in the same terms we've been talking about?
20	A: Probably, I would say 10 to 15. I'm
21	quessing. I don't know, I really don't know that
	guessing. I don t know, I really don t know that

1	Q: Now as a policy matter, do you limit the ?
2	number of or does the department limit the
3	number of people that can go to the academy based
4	on the number of open positions that you have?
5	A: We do, yes.
6	Q: So at the time when you were saying that
7	you wanted 30 people to go to the academy, you had
8	30 open positions?
9	A: Yes.
10	Q: And with respect to Lieut. Goodrum
11	specifically, I know we've talked about you being
12	concerned about the results, did you have any
13	particular criticism of what he was actually
14	doing?
15	A: I guess my criticism is what he wasn't
16	doing.
17	Q: What was your criticism related to what
18	he wasn't doing?
19	A: I was looking for input. I was looking
20	for innovation. I was looking for motivation and
21	I saw none of that.
22	Q: Why do you say that Lieut. Goodrum

1	wasn't motivated? ?
2	A: Well, because things weren't happening.
3	And when I replaced him with another person things
4	began to happen.
5	Q: Who was that person?
6	A: Marcus Downey.
7	Q: Was that
8	A: Excuse me. At nighttime my head's kind
9	of clogged up.
10	Q: No problem.
11	A: I'm sorry about that.
12	Q: When you mentioned replacing him with
13	Downey, was that the period during which Downey
14	was an acting captain?
15	A: No.
16	Q: Different period?
17	A: Different period.
18	Q: You mentioned investigations earlier.
19	Was Lieut. Goodrum under investigation when you
20	made the promotional decision that led to Downey
21	and Newcomb, being promoted?
22	A: Newcomb, yes.

#### Transcript of Don Hayes - Corporate Designee Conducted on December 12, 2024

39

1 is --2 MR. PORTER: Go to your Exhibit 7. 3 MR. ERLICH: Oh, I don't know if he has 4 it because I've got the marked one. 5 (Crosstalk) 6 MR. PORTER: Here you go. That's before 7 his -- okay. 8 Chief Hayes, could you describe this 9 document for me? 10 This is the document that Chief A: Yes. 11 Brown wrote finalizing the categories for those 12 who took the process. 13 Now you described a moment ago a point Q: 14 at which categories were no longer used. 15 A: Correct. And I believe you said that happened 16 0: 17 prior to the promotional process. 18 A: No, prior to the promotions. 19 0: Prior to the actual promotions, okay. 20 So this list was created, to my understanding, by 21 human resources. 22 **A**: Yes. ?

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

1	MR. CALES: Do you mean to say you say ?
2	
	deputy chiefs?
3	THE WITNESS: Assistant Chiefs.
4	MR. CALES: I'm sorry, assistant Chiefs.
5	THE WITNESS: We had three assistant
6	Chiefs.
7	Q: With respect to the three assistant
8	Chiefs
9	A: Yes.
10	Q: were those individuals all White?
11	A: No.
12	Q: What were their races?
13	A: A Black male, a Hispanic male, and a
14	Black female.
15	Q: After that lieutenant meeting that we
16	discussed earlier, did you apologize to anyone who
17	was there?
18	A: No, I didn't apologize to anyone.
19	Q: Do you recall if Lieut. Newcomb filed a
20	grievance at some point?
21	A: No, I don't.
22	MR. ERLICH: As my intrepid co-counsel

41 1 points out again I did ask another bad question. 2 Did Lieut. Newcomb file a grievance at 0: 3 any point? 4 I don't recall A: 5 Do you think in that lieutenants meeting 0: 6 mentioning Lieut. Goodrum specifically, to your 7 knowledge did that create any backlash against 8 Lieut. Goodrum? 9 Not that I know of. A: 10 MR. ERLICH: Okay. Let's go off the 11 record. 12 (Whereupon a recess was taken at 7:10 p.m., resuming at 7:24 p.m.) 13 14 BY MR. ERLICH: 15 Chief Hayes, again I'm going to attempt 0: to do this as efficiently as possible, we have 16 17 these documents regarding the numerical rankings, or the numerical scores I should say, that your 18 19 counsel, Mr. Porter provided to us this morning. 20 When you were making the decision, the 21 promotional decisions with respect to Ballantine,

Newcomb, and North, did you consider these scores

1	at all? ?
2	A: No.
3	Q: So without with you saying you didn't
4	consider those scores I'm not going to take our
5	time to go through them.
6	MR. ERLICH: Okay, we're going to go
7	back to Exhibit 8. I will hand you this and I'll
8	tell you all it is City Docs 1920, the Milner
9	candidate feedback report.
10	Q: Chief Hayes, can you identify this
11	document?
12	A: No, I cannot.
13	Q: Have you ever seen this document before?
14	A: I have not.
15	Q: Let me ask you the limited question
16	then; we've seen the promotional list that came
17	from the 2020 process although I understand that
18	you were not chief at the time.
19	A: Right.
20	Q: Do you have any information to indicate
21	why Edward Milner received was subject to a
22	candidate feedback report but is not ultimately on

43

the list? 1 2 A: No, I do not. 3 0: Okay. Fair enough. 4 After the lieutenants meeting that we 5 discussed, and you said clearly that you did not 6 apologize to anyone. 7 A: Correct. 8 0: Did you have meetings -- after that 9 lieutenants meeting did you have meetings or conversations with any of the people in the room 10 to discuss what had been said in the room? 11 12 Yes, I did. A: 13 0: Who did you have a meeting or conversation with? 14 15 A: I don't remember all of the names, but I went to the lieutenants that were in the meeting, 16 17 and I believe it was Monica Lisle came and talked 18 to me about what was happening in the department. 19 And so I went and talked to a few of the 20 lieutenants to see if they had any questions, 21 needed clarification. 22 And for the most part they said to me,

1	Chief, you don't have to explain to us. We knew ?
2	what you meant. Certain people were just trying
3	to make an issue out of it but we knew what you
4	meant. And that's how the conversations went.
5	Q: Now, you mentioned Monica something.
6	A: Yes.
7	Q: What was her last name?
8	A: Lisle.
9	Q: Could you spell it for us?
10	A: L-I-S-L-E.
11	Q: So tell me about the conversation when
12	she came to talk to you about what was going on
13	with respect to the lieutenants meeting?
14	A: She just asked me what did I do, what
15	was going on. She said because people are calling
16	me a racist, and things like that.
17	Q: And when you talked to the lieutenants
18	who were at that meeting subsequently, did you
19	meet them as a group or did you meet
20	A: No.
21	Q: them one by one?
22	A: I just went by the offices and just,

1	hey, you know, you got any questions about the ?
2	meeting we had, and I don't recall actually
3	sitting down and having a long conversation with
4	any of them because nobody had any questions about
5	it.
6	Q: Now remind me, was Lieut. Goodrum in
7	that meeting?
8	A: Yes, he was.
9	Q: Okay. Did you speak to Lieut. Goodrum
10	when you were speaking to other lieutenants?
11	A: No, I did not.
12	Q: Why didn't you speak to Lieut. Goodrum?
13	A: Because I don't think he had a problem
14	with the meeting.
15	MR. ERLICH: Mr. Court Reporter, if you
16	could mark this? And gentlemen, this is City Docs
17	457.
18	MR. PORTER: What's the exhibit number?
19	MR. ERLICH: 18.
20	(Whereupon Exhibit 18 was marked for
21	identification.)
22	Q: Chief Hayes, do you recognize this

1	document? ?
2	A: I do.
3	Q: What is this document?
4	A: My affidavit.
5	Q: For on what what was the occasion
6	for you to sign an affidavit?
7	A: It says, Plaintiff Delton Goodrum, U.S.
8	Equal Employment Opportunities Commission.
9	Q: I just want the only question I have
10	about this is if you could read it and tell me if
11	there's anything in this that you no longer agree
12	with, that is not accurate sitting here today.
13	A: No, it's fine.
14	Q: All right. Now, if I remember
15	correctly, just a little while ago you testified
16	that during your tenure as chief and acting chief,
17	and there was only, I think, one complaint of
18	discrimination and you explained what that was
19	with respect to the woman who had been moved for
20	90 days and then wanted to stay there permanently?
21	A: Yes, that's one I remember.
22	Q: Were there any others?